

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/084,283	DIDUCH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Glenn K. Dawson	3731	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Amended

 (1) Glenn K. Dawson.

(3) _____.

 (2) Fariborz Moazzam.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 January 2006
Time: 9:00 A.M.
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

32-34,37-45,47,53,55,58,64,66

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

claims 32-34,37-45 and 47 were canceled as drawn to a non-elected invention and species. The other claims were amended to correct indefiniteness or typographical errors.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)